

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,895	06/08/2007	Carl R. Towns	29610/CDT498	3448
4743 7590 02/13/2012 MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			CROUSE, BRETT ALAN	
6300 WILLIS CHICAGO, II			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1786	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/13/2012	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mgbdocket@marshallip.com

Applicant-Initiated Interview Summary Application No. 10/578,895 TOWNS ET AL. Examiner BRETT A. CROUSE 1786 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3)____. (2) ANDREW LAWRENCE. (4)____.

No.

Date of Interview: 31 January 2012.

Type: Telephonic Video Conference

t applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description: ______.

Issues Discussed 1101 1112 102 1103 Others
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kobayashi, US 2003/0168656; Kreuder, US 6,329,082; Roberts, US 2004/0062930;

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

See Continuation Sheet.

Applicant recordation instructions: The formal written reply to the last Office action must include the substance of the interview. (See MPEP section 7/13.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, applicant is given a non-extendable period of the longer of one month or thirty days from this interview date, or the mailing date of this interview summary form, whichever is later, to file a statement of the substance of the interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the item isted in MEPF 179.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication so to whether or not agreement was reached on the issue.

\boxtimes	A	ltac	hm	ieri	ŧ

/B. A. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 1786 /Jennifer A Chriss/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1786

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application witherer or not an apprenent with the examinent was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1,135 (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or undestanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview accordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no secarate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents' section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is malated to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate. the Form should be mailed promothy after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the interview Summay Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the
- Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is unitidient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made the examiner can be understood in the control of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feets were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
 - describe triose arguments which he or she teels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Application No. 10/578,895

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The interview focused on the enablement of the prior art regarding the synthesis of a brominated silafluorene intermediate. The declarations of record were also discussed in addition to the references.

Kreuder was discussed with regard to the synthesis scheme exemplified in columns 17/18 and 19/20 which results in a brominated silafluorene species being formed. Kreuder was also discussed with regard to the examples and the materials of examples 1, 6, and 7 in light of the declarations of record. Example 7 was further discussed opposite the declarations with regard to the structure of the product formed and the ring opening proposed in the declaration(s). It was noted that applicant in the declarations proposed a ring opening reaction when silafluorene is treated with NBS. However, example 7, a derivative comprising a silicon atom bridging the aromatic rings does not ring open and instead results in a brominated product.

The scope of the claims regarding the definition of an electron withdrawing group and the subsequent discussion in the declaration(s) regarding electron donating/withdrawing groups and the ring opening reaction was discussed. The examiner expressed a concern that applicant does not define an electron withdrawing group in the specification and therefore accepts the definition common to the art. A common measure used in the art is the Hammett Sigma (p) values to indicate whether a substituent is electron donating or electron withdrawing. Applicant laws hydrogen as a substituent. Hydrogen is the material which is used as the standard against which the other groups are compared. Hydrogen is assigned a Hammett Sigma (p) value of zero. In order to provide a list of groups and their associated sigma (p) values an except from Lange's Handbook of Chemistry is included with this mailing.

The enablement of the prior art was also more broadly discussed with regard to the additional synthesis methods suggested in the cited references and additionally the level of one of ordinary skill versus known synthetic techniques. The availability of synthetic pathways other than NBS which would result in the materials of the prior art was discussed opposite the declaration(s), which focus only on the use of NBS.